Beat Rate Variability of Pacemaker Cells

Heart rate/Beat rate variability (HRV/BRV); its

sources and underlying mechanisms

Ofer Binah. Department of Physiology, Biophysics & Systems Biology Rappaport Faculty of Medicine, Technion. Haifa, Israel









The study was initiated by the question....

Can induced Pluripotent Stem Cell (iPSC) derived cardiomyocytes (iPSC-CM) serve as potential biological pacemakers?

Michael Rosen and Ira Cohen

Why study Beat Rate Variability (BRV) in iPSC-CM?

- In addition to automaticity, a key feature of the human sinoatrial node (SAN) is Heart Rate Variability (HRV), which exhibits selfsimilar fractal-like oscillations
- Therefore, potential biological pacemakers, should <u>not</u> only fire spontaneously, but also exhibit BRV, similar to the SAN

Heart Rate Variability



Heart rate variability (HRV) refers to the beat-to-beat alterations in heart rate. Under resting conditions, the ECG of healthy individuals exhibits periodic variations in R-R intervals.

This time series features self-similarity and fractal behavior.

What are Fractality and Selfsimilarity?

Fractal objectsFractal time series



Fractal object: As a <u>fractal</u> object is magnified, ever finer features are revealed. The shapes of the smaller features resemble the shape of the larger features.

A fractal time series: A plot of the R-R intervals versus time features self-similarity. ECG recorded from a 40-year old healthy volunteer



A time series can be considered self-similar (or fractal) if comparable fluctuations over multiple time scales can be identified. Namely, the time series looks "similar" on different times scales.

The key question

Does iPSC-CMs automaticity exhibit BRV and fractality?

The Network level: The Micro-Electrode-Array (MEA)



Protocols

- Extracellular electrograms were recorded from hESC-CM and iPSC-CM cultures.
- The inter-beat intervals were analyzed for BRV properties.

Mandel et al, Circulation 2012



Human Embryonic and Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell-Derived Cardiomyocytes Exhibit Beat Rate Variability and Power-Law Behavior

Yael Mandel, Amir Weissman, Revital Schick, Lili Barad, Atara Novak, Gideon Meiry, Stanislav Goldberg, Avraham Lorber, Michael R. Rosen, Joseph Itskovitz-Eldor and Ofer Binah

Conclusion:

BRV, self-similarity and fractality are <u>inherent</u> properties of the (isolated) cardiac "Network" and do <u>not</u> depend on extra-cardiac influences

But the common dogma says:

Heart Rate Variability is:

A measure of neurocardiac function that reflects heart-brain interactions and autonomic nervous system dynamics.

McCraty & Singer, 2002

We think there are additional generators/ contributors to HRV/BRV

To investigate the sources of BRV/HRV, we generated the 3-level Hypothesis



BRV originates from intracellular mechanisms (e.g., Ca²⁺ cycling)



Second level: Network

TO

Π

60

nte

BRV is affected by the interaction between pacemakers, inter-cellular coupling

Third level: Heart

HRV is modulated by autonomic inputs, thermoregulation, hormones

Binah et al. Integrating beat rate variability: From single cells to hearts. Heart Rhythm. 2013.

Testing the 3-level hypothesis in the <u>same</u> person: ECG, iPSC-derived network (contracting EB) and single cardiomyocytes

A single cardiomyocyte action potential (AP)





Electrograms Cluster/network (Embryoid Body, EB)







MEA



Electrophysiological recordings, Inter-Beat-Intervals (IBIs) versus time plots, and IBIs histogram at the three levels



Poincaré plot: a quantitative measurement for the evolvement in time of the beat rate variability

- A Poincaré plot is a common mean for quantifying self-similar fractal behavior, such as that of HRV.
- In a Poincaré plot, each RR interval is plotted against the previous interval, generating a scatter cloud in a 2-D array.
- The cloud is then fitted with an ellipse providing two quantitative indices: SD1 the standard deviation of the short-term IBI variability and SD2 the standard deviation of the long-term IBI variability.



Poincaré plots at the three levels. Note difference in IBIs dispersion at the three levels



Superimposed Poincaré plots compare BRV from the three levels. Single cell depicts the highest dispersion



IBIs Standard Deviation (IBI STD), SD1 and SD2 at the three levels



The larger dispersion of IBIs is at the single cell level

BRV measures at the3 levels: SD1 and SD2 (Poincare plot), and IBIs Standard Deviation (IBI STD)



Digging deeper into the mechanisms of BRV



Does IBI variability depend on the number of cardiomyocytes in the ncluster?

Inter-beat interval (IBI) variability decreases when the number of cells in the cluster increases



Why is HRV/BRV larger at the single cell level?

The *in situ* heart: <u>Multiple</u> (some are opposing) inputs which converge on the SA Node, dampen the oscillations



Low IBIs Variability

Single cell: <u>Few</u> (e.g., Calcium clock) (non-opposing?) inputs on the pacemaker machinery generate large variability



High IBIs Variability

Why is HRV/BRV larger at the single cell level?



The higher the number of modulators/effectrs of automaticity - the smaller is the noise (IBIs variability)

What will happen to BRV if we decrease the number of interacting generators/effectors of automaticity in single a pacemaker cell?

Any guess?

RU360 - a specific mitochondrial Ca²⁺ uptake blocker – interferes with intracellular Ca²⁺ handing, affecting automaticity



RU360 markedly increases IBI variability



GCP-35157 – blocks mitochondrial Ca²⁺ efflux - interferes with intracellular Ca²⁺ handing, affecting automaticity



GCP-35157 markedly increases IBI variability



Ryanodine markedly increases IBI variability and causes bi-modal firing behavior



Summary

- (1) BRV is an <u>intrinsic</u> feature of single human cardiac pacemaker cells derived from iPSC
- (2) BRV is markedly enhanced at the <u>single</u> cell level compared to the cluster and the *in situ* heart. The major <u>increase</u> in IBIs variability occurs in the transition from interconnected network to a single cardiomyocyte
- (3) Intracellular Ca²⁺ cycling contributes to IBIs variability and BRV/HRV

Thank you!

Jerusalem



The coastline paradox – the higher the resolution of the measurement, finer detailed are revealed, and the <u>longer</u> is the coastline!



If the coastline of Great Britain is measured using fractal units 100 km long (left picture), then the length of the coastline is approximately 2,800 km. With 50 km units (right picture), the total length is approximately 3,400 km, approximately 600 km longer

A coastline features fractalilty and self-similarity



The coastline has a fractal behavior



Poincaré plot of the BRV in hESC-CM and iPSC-CM



<u>Conclusion</u>: The IBIs of hESC-CM and iPSC-CM exhibit the 'humanlike' ('cigar shape') dispersion, indicating fractal-like behavior of the BRV. The SD1 and SD2 values are similar to human ECG values.



- The original time series of inter-beat-intervals (yellow trace) is integrated.
- The fluctuations *F(n)* of the integrated signal around the best linear fit in a window (red lines) of time of size *n*, is determined. The red circles denote two *F(n)* values calculated for two different time windows (100 msec, and 50 msec).
- The slope of the line relating *F(n)* to log *n* determines the scaling exponent (self-similarity parameter) of the time series.

hESC-CM and HFKT-iPSC-CM have similar spontaneous beat rate





Self-similarity and fractal-like behavior

- A key feature of the sinoatrial node is its prominent beat-to-beat- variability, which shows self-similarity on different time-scales (fractal behavior).
- In healthy humans, the power spectrum of the inter-beat intervals follows a power-law behavior. Power laws describe fractal objects; i.e., objects that have fine structure at each scale, and appear similar at all scales of magnifications.







The single cell level: Spontaneous (pacemaker) electrical activity recorded from 33-day old HFKTiPSC-Cardiomyocytes (using the patch clamp technique)







hESC-CM and iPSC-CM respond to autonomic modulation



Iso – Isoproterenol, b-adrenergic agonist; Metoprolol - b-blocker; Cch – Cholinergic agonist; Atropine – cholinergic blocker

The fundamental requirements from a cardiac pacemaker

- Cardiac-like Excitation-Contraction Coupling machinery
- Automaticity, pacemaker currents, responsiveness to Funny current (I_f) blockers, autonomic modulation
- Heart/Beat rate variability, like the sino-atrial node

Functional properties of HFKT-iPSC-CM



Yes, we can transform human hair into little hearts!

Detrended Fluctuation Analysis (DFA) of the Inter-Beat-Intervals of iPSC-CM and hESC-CM: A slope around 1, indicates Self-Similarity



Functional HFKT-iPSC-CM

Extracellular electrograms are recorded by means of the Micro-Electrode Array (MEA) data acquisition system



An excellent set-up for testing drugs for Q-T prolongation

Extracellular recordings from HFKT-iPSC-CM Generating activation maps: conduction patterns and conduction velocity



CV = 9.25 cm/sec

Non-fractal object: As a non-fractal object is magnified, NO new features are revealed.



<u>Fractal object:</u> As a <u>fractal</u> object is magnified, ever finer features are revealed. The shapes of the smaller features resemble the shape of the larger features.



Fractal (showing self-similarity) objects in Nature



Ice frosts



Lung airways



Romanesco Brocoli